

# Bid Evaluation Report and Recommendation for Award of Contract T24-199

Name of Project: Cathcart Street Reconstruction - Community of Ridgetown

Contract Name: RFT-T24-199 - Cathcart Street Reconstruction - Community of Ridgetown

Identification Number: RFT-T24-199

Date of Submission: April 30<sup>th</sup>, 2024

## Opening

RFT T14-199 opened for bids on Mon Apr 8, 2024, 12:00:00 PM (EDT) and closed on Tue Apr 30, 2024 12:00:00 PM (EDT). Five (5) bids were received they are:

- Clarke Construction Inc.
- Henry Heyink Construction Ltd.
- Nevan Construction Inc.
- Gillier Construction Inc.
- Murray Mills Excavating & Trucking (Sarnia) Ltd.

All bids have been reviewed; the calculations and totals for pricing was math checked, no errors were found and no items were unusually priced as an example at \$1.

## Completeness of Bid

Unless the bidding documents have specifically allowed partial bids—permitting bidders to quote for only select items or for only partial quantities of a particular item—bids not offering all of the required items should ordinarily be considered nonresponsive.

All bidders provide experience references the required amount of project references.

## Abnormally Low bid

An abnormally low Bid typically covers Works and is one in which the Bid price, in combination with other elements of the Bid, appears so low that it raises material concerns with the Beneficiary as to the capability of the Bidder to perform the contract for the offered price.

Where the Beneficiary identifies a potential abnormally low Bid, the Beneficiary shall seek written clarifications from the Bidder, including detailed price analyses of its Bid price in relation to the subject matter of the contract, scope, proposed methodology, schedule, allocation of risks and responsibilities and any other requirements of the Bidding Documents. The low bid does not demonstrate an abnormally low bid situation.

### Qualifications

If the lowest evaluated bidder fails post-qualification, its bid should be rejected, and the next ranked bidder should then be subject to post-qualification examination. If successful, this bidder should receive the award. If not, the process continues.

The rejection of a bid for reasons of qualification requires substantial justification, which should be clearly documented in attachments to the report. A history of poor performance may be considered a substantial justification.

### Attempt to Contact

Bidders frequently attempt to contact the Beneficiary during bid evaluation, directly or indirectly, to query progress of evaluation, to offer unsolicited clarifications, or to provide criticisms of their competition. We did not have any attempt by the low bid to contact the beneficiary.

### Evaluation Summary

A summary of the bid submission along with notes can be found below in **Table 1**. As noted below, the low bid is by Sherway Contracting (Windsor) Limited and the high bid by J&J Lepera Infrastructures.

**Table 1: Bids Summary**

	Clarke Construction Inc.	Henry Heyink Construction Ltd.	Nevan Construction Inc.	Gillier Construction Inc.	Murray Mills Excavating & Trucking (Sarnia) Ltd.
	Submission 1	Submission 1	Submission 1	Submission 1	Submission 1
Schedules	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
Section A	\$93,270.20	\$90,579.22	\$88,467.70	\$162,982.73	\$181,189.85
Section B	\$285,596.20	\$293,411.28	\$388,087.20	\$446,788.44	\$309,653.90
Section C	\$286,737.50	\$311,216.69	\$298,839.80	\$337,714.06	\$521,344.71
Section D	\$246,221.35	\$205,826.90	\$290,523.00	\$289,251.75	\$292,947.98
Section E	\$156,567.15	\$149,411.54	\$205,151.50	\$188,568.75	\$206,587.73
Section F	\$173,477.60	\$245,784.04	\$65,229.25	\$292,294.28	\$383,454.20
Section G	\$316,400.00	\$316,400.00	\$316,400.00	\$316,400.00	\$316,400.00
Supplier Experience	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total
Supplier's Senior Supervisory Staff	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total
Supplier Equipment List	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total
Contact Information for Agreement	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total
Subcontractors	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total	Not included in total
Total Contract Amount:	\$1,558,270.00	\$1,612,629.67	\$1,652,698.45	\$2,034,000.01	\$2,211,578.37

As previously mentioned, all bids were reviewed, refer to **Attachment 1** for a comparison of each bid. In **Attachment 1**, the recommended award was highlighted in green. While the yellow highlight denotes items with unusual range of bids or when the recommended bid was not similar to the average bid.

### Recommendation for Award of Contract

Based on the above and a review of the tender submission, LEA recommends that Clarke Construction Inc. be recommended for award.

Respectfully submitted by

**LEA CONSULTING LTD.**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Lorne Emery".

---

Reviewed by:

Name: Lorne Emery, C. Tech, PMP

Title: Project Manager